1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28 ///

///

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RANDOLPH L. MOORE,

Petitioner,

٧.

WILLIAM GITTERE, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:13-cv-0655-JCM-DJA

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (ECF NO. 148)

In this capital habeas corpus action, after a 120-day initial period, a 62-day extension of time, and a 30-day extension of time, the respondents were due to file an answer by November 10, 2021. See Order entered April 12, 2021 (ECF No. 143) (120 days for answer); Order entered August 4, 2021 (ECF No. 145) (62-day extension of time); Order entered November 1, 2021 (ECF No. 147) (30-day extension of time).

On November 3, 2021, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 148), requesting a further 30-day extension, to December 10, 2021. Respondents' counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of his obligations in other cases and time away from work. Petitioner does not oppose the motion for extension of time.

The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the requested extension of time.

1

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Respondents' Motion for Extension of Time (ECF No. 148) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until and including **December 10, 2021**, to file their answer.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner will have 120 days to file a reply to Respondents' answer; in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the February 5, 2019, scheduling order (ECF No. 51), will remain in effect.

DATED November 8, 2021.

JAMES C. MAHAN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Elle C. Mahan